

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

NATURAL RESOURCES MEDIA  
& TECHNOLOGY GROUP, LLC,

Plaintiff,  
-against-

SNOOP YOUTH FOOTBALL LEAGUE  
FOUNDATION, THE FIRM, INC., d/b/a "THE  
FIRM," and CONSTANCE SCHWARTZ

USDC SDNY  
DOCUMENT  
ELECTRONICALLY FILED  
DOC #: 12/26/07  
DATE FILED: 12/26/07

RECEIVED  
CHAMBERS  
DEC 26 2007

JUDGE SCHWARTZ

Case No. 07 cv 07701 (SAS) (AJP)

**STIPULATION TO CLARIFY THAT  
DEFENDANT CONSTANCE  
SCHWARTZ REMAINS DISMISSED  
WITHOUT PREJUDICE; [PROPOSED]  
ORDER THEREON**

This stipulation is made by and between Plaintiff Natural Resources Media & Technology Group, LLC ("Natural Resources"), on the one hand, and Defendant Constance Schwartz ("Schwartz"), on the other hand, through their respective undersigned counsel of record, with reference to the following facts:

**WHEREAS**, on or about September 14, 2007, Natural Resources and Schwartz submitted a stipulation to the Court that sought to dismiss Schwartz from this action without prejudice (the "Stipulation");

**WHEREAS**, on or about September 21, 2007, the Court signed the Stipulation and dismissed Schwartz without prejudice;

**WHEREAS**, on or about December 3, 2007, the Court granted Natural Resources leave to amend its Complaint in order to replead certain claims against defendant The Firm, Inc. ("The Firm");

**WHEREAS**, on December 18, 2007, The Firm received an Amended Complaint filed by Natural Resources (the "Amended Complaint"), which asserts claims against both The Firm and Schwartz;

**WHEREAS**, Schwartz seeks to clarify that she has been dismissed without prejudice from this action and has not been brought back into the action by virtue of the Amended Complaint; and

**WHEREAS**, Natural Resources seeks to clarify that it did not intend to bring Schwartz back into the action by virtue of the Amended Complaint.

BASED UPON THE FOREGOING, THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE and request this Honorable Court to order that:

1. Schwartz remains dismissed without prejudice from this action and need not respond to the Amended Complaint;
2. The deadline for The Firm to respond to the Amended Complaint shall be January 14, 2008.

Dated: December 22, 2007

STEPTOE & JOHNSON, LLP

By: 

Michael Heimbolt  
Dylan Ruga  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Constance Schwartz and The Firm, Inc.

Dated: December 22, 2007

LAW OFFICES OF BRADLEY C. ROSEN

By: 

Bradley C. Rosen  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Natural Resources Media & Technology Group, LLC

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: January 26, 2008

Dec 26, 2007

  
The Hon. Shira A. Scheindlin  
United States District Court Judge